

FAIRWAYS H2020: Work Package 6: Task 6.2 Phase 2									
ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE									
Q24 Please rank the frequency of use of the following enforcement strategies in your country. <b>Very low</b> = not used at all <b>Very high</b> = the main form of regulation used									
DK	England	France	GER	Greece	NI	Port	Rom	Slov	N/W
Sustainable Use of Pesticides	Score	Score	Score		Score			Score	Score
Rules and Deterrence	not completed low Used by water companies and SEPA to gain farmer engagement	high In France, very few actions are ma	high national law on plant protection		high This is the main approach at national scale and farm scale	medium high This is mainly used between institutions/organizations.		very low still in the early phase of developm	high implementation of rules and deterrence is high
Advice and Persuasion	medium high RPA Cross compliance inspections	medium low	high water works -> farmers		medium high This is relatively good but only in specific catchments and led by the water companies. National level advice is improving	high This is used mainly towards individuals (e.g. farmers) as a way of avoid problematic behaviours		high advisory and extension services play a big part	high dialogue and advice is considered important, - important advice is provided by the municipality advisors, but also from the Norwegian Agricultural Advisors
Criteria Strategies	low Not sure if EA use this approach	high These strategies are promoted in the more complexe cases (by research agencies)	NA		medium high Drinking water companies are held accountable based on exceedances of drinking water standards	medium high There are procedures established for the action of the technicians		high most commonly implemented by inspectors	high
Responsive regulation	NA Don't know how much this is used maybe by EA?	very low Could happend but rare	NA		very low not used	very low Not officiatly used.		medium high implemented by controllers by cross compliance and RDP	high unsure
Smart regulation	NA Voluntary measures e.g. VI and CFE	very low Controls and regulations is expected to be done by state.	NA		very low not used	very low goes against the rules		low weak	high unsure
Risk based regulation	medium high Chemical Regulation Division model	very low Could happend but rare	NA		very low not used	medium low In places with pollutant problems, the limits and penalties are more severe.		low supposed to improve after 2021	medium low control is following risk based; also regulation is more strict in areas of higher risks
Meta regulation	NA Not aware of this being used.	medium low Water compaignes could measures concentrations in water and help farmers to improve their practice.	high pesticide approval national -> commission		NA not used	very low The penalties and regulations are defined at national level and should not be changes. Although there are certification systems, they do not have a regulatory		medium low for big companies - they have to self report and execute monitoring	low unsure