

<b>FAIRWAYS H2020: Work Package 6: Task 6.2 Phase 2</b> <b>ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE</b> Q24 Please rank the frequency of use of the following enforcement strategies in your country. <b>Very low</b> = not used at all <b>Very high</b> = the main form of regulation used	<b>NI</b>	<b>Portugal</b>	<b>Slovenia</b>
<b>Sludge Directive</b>	<b>Score</b>		<b>Score</b>
Rules and Deterrence	Very low There is the potential of fines for contravening the Sludge and other Environmental Regulations	medium high This is mainly used between institutions/organizations.	very high still in the early phase of development
Advice and Persuasion	high Advice is offered by the Environmental Regulators.	high This is used mainly towards individuals (e.g. farmers) as a way of avoid problematic behaviours	very high advisory and extension services play a big part
Criteria Strategies	NA	medium high There are procedures established for the action of the technicians	NA most commonly implemented by inspectors
Responsive regulation	high	Very low Not officially used.	NA implemented by controllers by cross compliance and RDP
Smart regulation	medium high	Very low goes against the rules	NA weak
Risk based regulation	low	medium low In places with pollutant problems, the limits and penalties are more severe.	NA supposed to improve after 2021
Meta regulation	medium high The route of recycling is agreed between the Water Utility and the regulator.	Very low The penalties and regulations are defined at national level and should not be changes. Although there are certification systems, they do not have a regulatory impact	very high or big companies - they have to self report and execute monitoring